	Case 3:10-cv- <u>00</u> 162-LRH-VPC   Document 54   Filed 06/29 <b>/</b> 11   Page 1 of 4
. J.	PHER A. JONES
POB 198	FILED RECEIVED SERVED ON
ELY, NV	COUNSEL PARTIES OF RECORD
PLAINT	JUN 2 9 2011
	CLERK/US/DISTRICT/COURT
	U.S. DISTRICT COMPANY DEPUTY
	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
	CHPISTOPHER A. JONES,
	PLAINTYFF, CASE NO. 8: 10-CV-00162-LPH-VAC
	VS.
2000 2000 - 2000	HOWARD SKOLNIK, ET AL. MOTION TO STRIKE
	OEFRIOANTS
	Comes Now, THE PLAINTIFF IN THIS MATTER,
n-a	PROCEEDING IN A PROSE CAMOUT, TO MOVE THIS
	HONORABLE COURT TO STRIKE THE DEFENDANTS
metalika in manusak sebina 4 menjuba (1417-1797).	PEPLY TO OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO DISMISS AT PAGE
	6 SECTION D. LINES 23 TAROUGH 29 AS NEW
	MATERIAL SUBMITTED IN A REALY, (#53).
	TANS MOTION IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO LR 9-2(0)
	AND IS SUPPORTED BY THE FOLLOWING POINTS, AUT-
	HORITIES AND PAPERS ON FILE IN THIS MATTER.
	POINTS AND AUTHORITIES
	T NATURE OF MOTION
	A. THE ORFENDANTS PRESENTED NEW MATERIAL
	ISSUES AND ALGUMENT TO SHOW PREJUDICE
	AS MANDATED TO SHOW A NEW TO SEVEL
	THAT THEY OMITTED FROM THE INITIAL MOTION

II. APGUMBUT

A THE DEPENDANTS PRESENTED NEW MATERIAL

188UES AND ARGUMENT TO SHOW PRESUDICE

AS MANDATED TO SHOW A NEED TO SEVEL

THAT THEY OMITTED FROM THE MITTAL MOTION

LITTURES, T, INC., 918 F. SUPENDANTS TO DEFENDANTS

PAR MASONS MOTION TO DISMISS ALGUNG IN

THE ALTERNATURE, MISSOLNDER PER FREP 20 ALS

21, THAT SEVERENCE IS NECESSARY, THE PLANN
THE AT (#49, pp. 19-20) INFORMS ALL CONCEL
NED THAT THE ORFENDANTS FAILED TO ALGUE OR

SHOW THE PRESUDER TO A SUBSTANTIAL RIGHT.

CITING TO SMUMMER HOLDINGS N. OLD COLONY

VENTURES, T, INC., 918 F. SUPP. 343 (D. KAN. 1996)

FOR THE PROPOSITION THAT A SHOWING OF PRESUDE
CR IS A MINDASORY BRIMENT!

IN THEIR REPLY BRIEF.

CONTRACT FO THE OFFINES CREATURE MANIMULATION

AT (#53, PAGE 6, LL 20-23) CITING IN PART:

PLANTIFF TAMES TREEPTION WITH DEFENDANTS

[OMITTED] DISCUSSION ADDRESSING THE PREJUDICE

ARE PERMITTED TO PROCEED IN LITIGATION WITH THE CLAIMS PERTAINING TO DEFENDANTS TRANT. AND MASON. (CO #162 AT 18).

OFFENDANTS [ANSWER] ACCORDINGET ...

(RMAPPASIS ADDED

PRE PLAINTIFÉS IDENTIFYING THE DEFECT; DOES NOT OFEN THE DOOR FOR THE DEFENDANTS TO ANSWER ACC.

ORDINGLY OR OTHERWISE ACCORDING TO NINTH CIRCUIT

AND THEORITES. HET PANES TO MAKE AN IMPORTANT

SHOWING [AND] ARE STUCK WITH IT! THE PLAINTIFF

SIMPLY OUTLINED THE DIFICIENCY AS PART OF 1485

OPPOSITION.

APPOSITION.

AS CITED IN ELLINGSON V. BURLINGTON NORTHERN.

INC., 653 F.20 1327, 1332 (9TH CIR, 1981) (AND ISSUE

ADVANCED ONLY IN A PERLY BRIEF PROVIDES THE OPPOS
ING PARTY NO OPPORTUNITY TO MEET THE CONTENTION);

VON BRIMEL V. WHIRLDOOL CORP., 536 F.20 838, 846

(9TM CIR. 1976) (NEW MATERIAL DOESN'T BELONG IN A

REAT BRIEF, AND THE NEW MATERIAL IN A PEPLY BRIEF

TRANGRESSES ABAINST THE CANONS OF FAIR FORENSICS);

WHITE V. CITY OF SPARKS, 341 17 SUAP. 20 1129, 1134

(O. NEV. 2004) (ADRONS OF A REAT BRIEF ON A MOTTON

FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUSGMENT ARE PROPERLY STRIKEN

WHERE AN ARGUMENT IS PAISED FOR THE FIRST TIME IN

A PEAT BRIEF) AND DEPARTMENT OF ROUC. STATE OF

HAWAN V. BELL, 720 1=22 1409 (9TH CIR. 1988

	COURT DISPEDARDED ALGUMENT PAISED IN REPLY
	BRIEF FOR THE FIRST TIME, WHICH WAS UNTIMELY)
	BY THE DEFENDANTS OWN WORDS, AND OR ACTS,
	THEY CONCERS THAT THEY GMITTED THE PRESUDICE
	FLEMENT FROM THEIR INITIAL MODON ARGUING
:-:	FOR SEVERENCE / MISJOINER
	AND, BY THEIR OWN ADMISSIONS, SEEK TO
	[ANSWER] TO PUT FORTH ARGUMENT TO CURE SAND
	DEFECT
	LICCORDING TO THE ABOUR AUTHORITIES, SUCH A
	BELATES ATTEMPT CONSTITUTES [NEW MATERIAL] IN
	A REALY BRIBE, AND AS SUCH, MUST BE STRICKEN
	AURSUANT TO WATTE, SUPRA.
انفستانا	Character and the control of the con
	III CONCLUSION
	THE PLAINTIFFS MORON SHOULD BE BRANTED
	AS SUPPORTED BY THE FACTS, AND, THE BINDING
	AUTHORITES CITES HEREIN,
`	DATED THUI 26 "DAY OF JUNE , 291/1 /
_	CHPISTOPHER A. JONES
	POB 1989
	ELY, NV. 89301 PLAINTIFF PRO SE
	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
۶	A TRUE COPY OF THIS MOTION WAS MAILED 6-26-11
	TO THE FOLLOWING:
<i></i>	RAELENE K. PALMER, DAC
,	21